K–SVD: An Algorithm for Designing Overcomplete Dictionaries for Sparse Representation

Yao Zhang

1.1 Introduction

We assume that the vector \boldsymbol{x} is sparse, i.e. there are only a few non-zeros.

Definition 1.1 (spare representation of signal). Let $\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$ be an observed signal. Let $D \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times K}$ be a dictionary. Let $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times 1}$ be the representation coefficients. In the absence of noise. we assume

$$\boldsymbol{y} = D\boldsymbol{x}.\tag{1.1}$$

More precisely, if $D = [d_1, ..., d_K]$, where $d_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$, are the basis vectors, then

$$\boldsymbol{y} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} x_k \boldsymbol{d}_k \tag{1.2}$$

Suppose we have $\{y_1, ..., y_N\}$ observations share a common dictionary D and is known to have spare representations. How to design D?

Our problem is:

$$\min_{X,D} \|\boldsymbol{x}_i\|_0 \quad s.t. \quad DX = Y \tag{1.3}$$

where $Y = [\boldsymbol{y}_1, ..., \boldsymbol{y}_N] (\boldsymbol{y}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1})$ is the collection of N observations, and $X = [\boldsymbol{x}_1, ..., \boldsymbol{x}_N] (\boldsymbol{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times 1})$ is the collection of N representation coefficient vectors.

Remark. Eq 1.3 is not convex, and in reality, there is always noise and so $DX \approx Y$.

Therefore,

$$\min_{X,D} \|DX - Y\|_F^2 \quad s.t. \ \|\boldsymbol{x}_i\|_0 \leqslant T$$
(1.4)

Solve the problem 1.4 using alternating minimization:

- 1. update the sparse coding: $X^{k+1} = \min_{X} \left\| D^{(k)}X Y \right\|_{F}^{2}$ s.t. $\|\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\|_{0} \leq T$
- 2. update the dictionary: $D^{k+1} = \min_{D} \left\| DX^{(k+1)} Y \right\|_{F}^{2}$

1.2 K-Means

Remark. K is from $D \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times K}$, means is from average, respectively.

Sparse coding

Suppose we have a dictionary D. For now let us assume that D is known and fixed. Suppose we want to fire one and only column(denote k). How should we do it?

1. For the *i*-th observation $\boldsymbol{y}_i (i = 1, ..., N)$, we should select column k if

$$\|\boldsymbol{y}_i - D\boldsymbol{e}_k\|_2^2 \leqslant \|\boldsymbol{y}_i - D\boldsymbol{e}_j\|_2^2$$
(1.5)

for
$$j \neq k$$
, where $\boldsymbol{e}_k = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\\vdots\\0\\1\\0\\\vdots\\0 \end{bmatrix}$ is the standard basis.

2. Repeat the same process for all i = 1, ..., N. The each y_i will have its own closest column and we can partition the indices $\{1, ..., N\}$ into at most K groups $R_1, ..., R_k$:

$$R_{k} = \left\{ i: \|\boldsymbol{y}_{i} - D\boldsymbol{e}_{k}\|_{2}^{2} \leqslant \|\boldsymbol{y}_{i} - D\boldsymbol{e}_{j}\|_{2}^{2}, \ j \neq k \right\}$$
(1.6)

Dictionary Update

Now, once the observations $\boldsymbol{y}_1, ..., \boldsymbol{y}_N$ are grouped into K groups specified by $R_1, ..., R_K$, how can we update the dictionary D?

1. Replace the column by the mean of observations in the group:

$$\boldsymbol{d}_{k} = \frac{1}{|R_{k}|} \sum_{i \in R_{k}} \boldsymbol{y} y_{i}$$
(1.7)

Why? See Theorem 1.1.

2. After that, go back to the sparse coding step. Stop until stopping criteria is met.

Theorem 1.1. K-Means is equivalent to

$$\min_{D,X} \|Y - DX\|_F^2 \quad s.t. \ \forall i, \exists k, \ \boldsymbol{x}_i = \boldsymbol{e}_k$$
(1.8)

where $Y = [\boldsymbol{y}_1, ..., \boldsymbol{y}_N] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times N}, X = [\boldsymbol{x}_1, ..., \boldsymbol{x}_N] \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times N}$

Proof.

1. Given D, if we can only fire one column(denote k), then the solution has to satisfy

$$\|\boldsymbol{y}_i - D\boldsymbol{e}_k\|_2^2 \leqslant \|\boldsymbol{y}_i - D\boldsymbol{e}_j\|_2^2$$
(1.9)

2. Given X, we can separate the sum-square into K groups of individual terms. Each will take the form

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{d}_k} \sum_{i \in R_k} \|\boldsymbol{y}_i - \boldsymbol{d}_k\|_2^2$$
(1.10)

The optimal solution of 1.10 is the average of $\{y_i\}_{i \in R_k}$

1.3 K-SVD

Remark. K is from $D \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times K}$, SVD is from rank 1 decomposition, respectively.

In fact, K-Means

$$\min_{D,X} \|Y - DX\|_F^2 \ s.t. \ \forall i, \ \|\boldsymbol{x}_i\|_0 = 1$$
(1.11)

Now, K-SVD:

$$\min_{D,X} \|Y - DX\|_F^2 \ s.t. \ \forall i, \ \|\boldsymbol{x}_i\|_0 \le T$$
(1.12)

1. Sparse Coding

Fix D, solve X in

$$\min_{X} \|Y - DX\|_{F}^{2} \ s.t. \ \forall i, \ \|\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\|_{0} \le T$$
(1.13)

Note that

$$\|Y - DX\|_F^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N \|\boldsymbol{y}_i - D\boldsymbol{x}_i\|_2^2$$
(1.14)

Why do this?

Thus, we only need to solve

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{x}_i} \|\boldsymbol{y}_i - D\boldsymbol{x}_i\|_2^2 \, s.t. \, \forall i, \, \|\boldsymbol{x}_i\|_0 \leqslant T \tag{1.15}$$

This can be done using OMP, or any other algorithm along the same vein.

2. Dictionary Update

Now, assume X is fixed. Suppose we want to update D. Can we solve this?

$$\min_{D} \|DX - Y\|_{F}^{2} \tag{1.16}$$

If we solve for D in this way, i.e.

$$D = YX^T \left(XX^T \right)^{-1} \tag{1.17}$$

But, there are drawback in this method

- (a) $X \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times N}$, so $XX^T \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times K}$, Inversion is hard for large K.
- (b) There is no way of preserving sparsity inherent from X.

Can we update the k-th column of D while fixing the others?

We know that (let x^i be the *j*-th row, and x_j be the *j*-th column)

$$Y \approx \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{d}_1 \\ \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1} \end{bmatrix}, \dots, \mathbf{d}_K \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}^1 \\ \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times N} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}^K \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times N}$$
(1.18)

then

$$\|Y - DX\|_F^2$$

$$= \left\|Y - \sum_{j=1}^k \boldsymbol{d}_j \boldsymbol{x}^j\right\|_F^2 = \left\|\left(Y - \sum_{j=1, j \neq k}^k \boldsymbol{d}_j \boldsymbol{x}^j\right) - \boldsymbol{d}_k \boldsymbol{x}^k\right\|_F^2 \triangleq \left\|E_k - \boldsymbol{d}_k \boldsymbol{x}^k\right\|_F^2 \quad (1.19)$$

Therefore, since E_k is fixed, finding (d_k, x^k) is the same as finding the best rank-1 update of E_k .

To find $(\boldsymbol{d}_k, \boldsymbol{x}^k)$ such that

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{d}_{k},\boldsymbol{x}^{k}}\left\|E_{k}-\boldsymbol{d}_{k}\boldsymbol{x}^{k}\right\|_{F}^{2}$$
(1.20)

Not quite! We also need to preserve sparsity of x^k .

Then, let us restrict ourselves to the existing non-zeros of \boldsymbol{x}^k . Define

$$\omega_k = \left\{ i : \boldsymbol{x}^k \left[i \right] \neq 0 \right\} \tag{1.21}$$

and ω_k be an $n\times |\omega_k|$ matrix representing the sampling operator. Find rank-1 approximation for

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{d}_{k},\boldsymbol{x}^{k}} \left\| E_{k}\Omega_{k} - \boldsymbol{d}_{k}\boldsymbol{x}^{k}\Omega_{k} \right\|_{F}^{2}$$
(1.22)

Let $E_k^R \triangleq E_k \Omega_k$, then 1.22 can be solved by doing SVD on E_k^R :

$$E_k^R = U\Sigma V^T \tag{1.23}$$

Then

- (a) $\boldsymbol{d}_k =$ the first column of U
- (b) $\boldsymbol{x}^k \Omega_k$ = the first row of $V \times \Sigma(1, 1)$. Fill $\boldsymbol{x}^k[i]$ with zero for $i \notin \omega_k$
- (c) Repeat the process for k = 1, ..., K

Suppose the sparse coding is prefect. Then the dictionary update:

- 1. guarantees reduction or no charge of $||Y DX||_F^2$
- 2. guarantees sparsity of X is unchanged

However,

- 1. Since the sparse coding step may not be perfect, convergence is not guaranteed i general
- 2. When T is small, OMP has worst case guarantee. Even for moderate T, OMP can still work under high probability (with some assumptions on the signal)
- 3. Practically, K-SVD works reasonably well (but slow)

1.4 Acknowledge

We would like to thank Dr. Stanley Chan for help with the description of the big picture of K-SVD.

1.5 References

 M. Aharon, M. Elad and A. Bruckstein, "K-SVD: An algorithm for designing overcomplete dictionaries for sparse representation," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 2006, Vol. 54(11), pp. 4311–4322.